

## CHARACTERISATION OF INTERACTIONS BETWEEN

### THERMALLY MODIFIED WOOD AND WATER

Miha Humar, Davor Kržišnik, Boštjan Lesar, Nejc Thaler and Mojca Žlahtič

University of Ljubljana, Biotechnical Faculty, Departement of Wood Science and Technology





COST is supported by the EU Framework Programme Horizon 2020



# MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### Specimens

Norway Spruce (*Picea abies*) Two dimensions EN 252 (25 × 50 × 500 mm) EN 113 (15 × 25 × 50 mm)







## **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

#### **Modification process**

Silvapro<sup>®</sup> Initial vacuum Six temperatures: control 160 °C 180 °C 190 °C 200 °C 210 °C 230 °C Modification time – 3 h









## VARIOUS WATER UPTAKE TECHNIQUES

Short term water uptake - Tensiometer Medium term water uptake Long term water uptake and drying MC monitoring during weathering







Modification temperature	Ranking				
	Short term water uptake	Medium term immersion (1 h)	Medium term immersion (47 h)	Long term tensiometer	Outdoor monitoring
160 °C	5	5	4	3	7
180 °C	6	6	5	4	5
180 °C	3	4	3	5	6
190 °C	1	3	2	A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER	3
200 °C	the set	at a			2
210 °C	2	2	1	1	1
230 °C	4	1	1	2	4

#### Short term water uptake

During short term water uptake there is evidence that thermally modified wood uptake less water than untreated control specimens.

#### Long term water uptake

Long term wetting results indicate that heat treated specimens retained considerably less water than control specimens. Long term water uptake method is more predictable than the short term method.

#### Outdoor monitoring

Similar results in the short term water uptake and outdoor monitoring confirm that short term uptake is an indicative method.